



**ASYMMETRIC INFORMATION AND SOCIAL ACCESS TO FOOD SECURITY: A
COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW IN RURAL CONTEXT OF BANGLADESH**

Rakib Hossain¹, Dr. Khan Mehedi Hasan² and Mostafa Kamal³

To cite the article: *Rakib Hossain, Dr. Khan Mehedi Hasan, and Mostafa Kamal (2024), Asymmetric Information and Social Access to Food Security: A Comprehensive Review in Rural Context of Bangladesh, Journal of Agricultural and Rural Research, 7(2): 67-73.*

Link to this article: <http://aiipub.com/journals/jarr-250528-10079/>

Article QR



Journal QR



ASYMMETRIC INFORMATION AND SOCIAL ACCESS TO FOOD SECURITY: A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW IN RURAL CONTEXT OF BANGLADESH

Rakib Hossain¹, Dr. Khan Mehedi Hasan² and Mostafa Kamal³

1. PhD Candidate, Department of Economics Discipline, Khulna University

2. Professor & Head, Department of Economics Discipline, Khulna University

3. Associate Professor, Department of Business Studies, University of Development Alternative

*Corresponding author e-mail: ratonrakib@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO

Article Type: Research

Received: 1, Jun. 2024.

Accepted: 7, Jun. 2024.

Published: 29, Sep. 2024.

Keywords:

Food insecurity, Rural Bangladesh, Social determinants, Asymmetric information, Agricultural markets, Farm gate prices, Economic stability, Cultural practices, Gender disparities, Sustainable development.

ABSTRACT

This comprehensive review examines the multifaceted nature of food insecurity in rural Bangladesh, focusing on the influence of social determinants and asymmetric information. Despite efforts to enhance food production and access, socio-economic challenges persist, hindering progress towards achieving universal food security. Through an analysis of literature spanning disciplines such as nutrition, economics, and sociology, this review elucidates the complex interplay of factors shaping food security outcomes in rural Bangladesh. Cultural practices, gender disparities, and limited access to education and resources significantly impact individuals and communities' ability to access sufficient and nutritious food. Moreover, asymmetric information in agricultural markets exacerbates food insecurity by affecting farm gate prices and economic stability, particularly among vegetable producers. Addressing these challenges requires a multi-sectoral approach that empowers vulnerable populations, challenges harmful cultural norms, and strengthens social networks and educational programs. By recognizing and addressing social determinants and information asymmetry, policymakers and stakeholders can develop targeted interventions to promote sustainable development and uphold the right to food for all individuals in Bangladesh.

1. Introduction

Food security is a fundamental human right and a cornerstone of sustainable development (FAO, 1996). However, achieving food security remains a significant challenge worldwide, particularly in rural regions like those in Bangladesh. Food security, defined as the availability, accessibility, utilization, and stability of food, is influenced by various social factors that impact individuals' and communities' ability to access sufficient, safe, and nutritious food. In rural Bangladesh, where a large portion of the population resides, numerous socio-economic factors hinder access to adequate and nutritious food. Researchers and policymakers have increasingly recognized that addressing food security requires a multifaceted approach that extends beyond mere food production. The concept of social access to food security has emerged as a critical dimension in understanding and addressing the complexities of food

insecurity, especially in rural contexts. Social access encompasses socio-cultural, economic, and institutional factors that influence an individual's or community's ability to obtain sufficient and nutritious food (Maxwell & Slater, 2003).

Various interconnected factors shape social access to food security in rural Bangladesh, including poverty, landlessness, gender disparities, inadequate infrastructure, and environmental degradation. For instance, landlessness and limited access to productive resources exacerbate food insecurity among rural households reliant on agriculture for their livelihoods (Hossain & Bose, 2017). Gender inequalities in resource allocation and decision-making further marginalize women, restricting their access to food and nutrition (Quisumbing et al., 2015).

Moreover, asymmetric information in agricultural markets significantly impacts food security by affecting farm gate prices and the economic stability of vegetable producers. In rural Bangladesh, vegetable producers often face challenges related to information asymmetry, where buyers possess more market information than sellers. This imbalance often results in lower prices for farmers, diminishing their income and food security. Farmers' lack of access to market information, reliance on intermediaries, and inadequate dissemination of price data contribute to suboptimal pricing and reduced bargaining power (Akerlof, 1970; Stigler, 1961). As a result, intermediaries and buyers benefit from superior market information, while producers are left disadvantaged. Understanding the intricate dynamics of social access to food security in rural Bangladesh, including the role of asymmetric information, is crucial for designing effective interventions and policies aimed at alleviating food insecurity and improving the well-being of rural communities. This comprehensive review seeks to examine existing literature on social access to food security and asymmetric information in rural Bangladesh, synthesizing key findings, identifying knowledge gaps, and offering insights for future research and policy interventions.

Through an in-depth analysis of scholarly articles, reports, and empirical studies, this review aims to shed light on the multifaceted nature of food insecurity in rural Bangladesh. It will explore potential pathways for enhancing social access to food security by elucidating the determinants and mechanisms shaping food security outcomes. By integrating findings related to asymmetric information and social access, this review endeavors to contribute to the ongoing discourse on food security and inform evidence-based strategies for promoting sustainable development and poverty reduction in Bangladesh's rural hinterlands.

2. Methods, materials, and techniques

This study employs comprehensive review and synthesis of secondary literature to explore the social determinants of food security and the impact of asymmetric information on farm gate prices among vegetable producers in rural Bangladesh. The process begins with an extensive literature review across disciplines such as nutrition, economics, sociology, and public health. Systematic data collection from academic databases, repositories, and relevant websites identifies peer-reviewed articles, policy documents, and reports addressing food security, social factors, and asymmetric information in rural contexts. Thematic analysis is applied to systematically analyze the collected literature, extracting key findings and themes related to the social dynamics shaping food security outcomes and the role of information asymmetry.

3. Discussion

While availability, accessibility, and affordability are key determinants of food consumption, social factors significantly shape dietary behaviors, particularly among vulnerable populations such as rural vegetable producers in Bangladesh. Despite the presence of food, various social, cultural, and economic factors can hinder individuals' ability to access and consume nutrient-rich foods, impacting overall food security. Numerous studies have highlighted the complex interplay of social determinants influencing food security in rural Bangladesh. Cultural norms and traditional beliefs often dictate dietary restrictions and taboos, limiting food choices and nutrient intake (Ahmed et al., 2019). Socio-economic constraints, such as poverty and food insecurity, exacerbate malnutrition by restricting access to diverse and nutrient-dense foods (Ruel & Alderman, 2013). Gender inequalities and power dynamics within household's influence food consumption patterns, where women, despite playing central roles in food production and preparation, often have limited decision-making power regarding household food allocation and expenditure, leading to inadequate dietary intake (Leroy et al., 2018).

Asymmetric information in agricultural markets further complicates the food security landscape. Vegetable producers in rural Bangladesh often face challenges related to information asymmetry, where buyers possess more market information than sellers. This imbalance results in lower prices for farmers, reducing their income and economic stability (Akerlof, 1970). Limited access to market information, reliance on intermediaries, and inadequate dissemination of price data contribute to suboptimal pricing and reduced bargaining power for producers (Stigler, 1961). This economic vulnerability impacts farmers' ability to access nutritious food, thereby exacerbating food insecurity. Gender disparities pose significant challenges to achieving food security. Women often face barriers due to unequal access to resources, limited control over income, and societal expectations prioritizing men's needs over their own. In many societies, women are expected to prioritize the needs of their husbands and children, often at the expense of their own nutritional well-being (Kabeer, 2005). Addressing these disparities requires interventions that challenge existing social structures and empower women to assert their rights to food and nutrition (Sen, 2001; Duflo, 2012).

Cultural factors exert a profound influence on food preferences and consumption patterns in Bangladesh, intertwining with rituals, festivals, and social gatherings (Turner et al., 2020). Asymmetric information, particularly concerning cultural aspects, significantly impacts interventions aimed at addressing food insecurity. The unequal distribution of knowledge between external actors and local communities often leads to misaligned strategies. Interventions that fail to acknowledge or incorporate indigenous knowledge and traditional practices may encounter resistance or disrupt existing socio-cultural systems. This disconnect highlights the importance of recognizing and respecting local customs and traditions in designing effective food security interventions (Fanzo, 2012). Asymmetric information also emerges when modern solutions clash with traditional practices, necessitating a nuanced understanding of cultural significance to ensure the acceptance and sustainability of interventions. Moreover, perceptions of food security may differ based on cultural contexts, requiring a participatory approach that values local knowledge and fosters trust among stakeholders. By addressing asymmetric information and integrating cultural factors into intervention strategies, efforts to combat food insecurity in Bangladesh can become more contextually relevant and impactful.

Education serves as a powerful tool in addressing food insecurity by equipping individuals with the knowledge, skills, and resources necessary to make informed food choices and adopt healthy eating behaviors. Research suggests that higher levels of education are associated with improved food security

outcomes (Loopstra et al., 2019). Asymmetric information, particularly in educational programs related to food insecurity, can pose challenges to their effectiveness. Disparities in access to education or quality of education may result in unequal distribution of knowledge and resources, perpetuating food insecurity among marginalized communities. In Bangladesh, educational programs focusing on nutrition have the potential to empower communities to make healthier food choices and enhance food security at the household and community levels. However, without addressing asymmetries in access to education or disparities in educational quality, these programs may fail to reach those most in need. Social networks and community ties significantly influence access to food resources and mitigate food insecurity. Informal networks, including family, neighbors, and community groups, often act as vital safety nets, providing support and access to food and other essential resources during times of crisis (Hossain et al., 2019). Efforts to improve food security through education must consider and address these asymmetries to ensure equitable access to knowledge and resources for all communities.

Social capital enhances food security outcomes by fostering trust, cooperation, and collective action within communities (Webb et al., 2018). Addressing the social determinants of food security and the impacts of asymmetric information requires a multi-sectoral approach that encompasses interventions aimed at improving socio-economic status, empowering women, challenging harmful cultural practices, and strengthening health systems to provide comprehensive maternal and child health services. By leveraging existing social networks and educational programs, policymakers and stakeholders can work towards ensuring that vulnerable populations, including pregnant women and rural vegetable producers, have the necessary support and resources to access nutrient-rich foods, thereby promoting the health and well-being of both individuals and communities.

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, food security, a fundamental human right, remains elusive for many individuals and communities, especially in rural Bangladesh. Despite efforts to bolster food production and resource access, persistent socio-economic challenges impede progress toward universal food security. This comprehensive review underscores the critical significance of addressing social determinants to comprehend and tackle food insecurity in rural Bangladesh. From the sway of cultural practices on dietary habits to the pivotal role of social connections in providing safety nets during crises, social factors intricately shape food security outcomes. By recognizing and remedying these underlying determinants, policymakers and stakeholders can devise tailored interventions and policies to advance sustainable development, empower vulnerable populations, and uphold the right to food for all individuals in Bangladesh.

5. References

1. Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). (1996). Rome Declaration on World Food Security and World Food Summit Plan of Action. Retrieved from <http://www.fao.org/docrep/003/w3613e/w3613e00.htm>
2. Maxwell, S., & Slater, R. (2003). Food Policy Old and New. *Development Policy Review*, 21(5-6), 531-553. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8659.2003.00220.x

3. Hossain, M., & Bose, M. L. (2017). Food Security and Nutrition in Bangladesh: Progress and Determinants. *Electronic Journal of Biology*, 13(4), 311-318.
4. Quisumbing, A. R., Meinzen-Dick, R., Raney, T. L., Croppenstedt, A., Behrman, J. A., & Peterman, A. (Eds.). (2015). *Gender in Agriculture: Closing the Knowledge Gap*. Springer. doi:10.1007/978-94-017-8616-4
5. Akerlof, G. A. (1970). The Market for 'Lemons': Quality Uncertainty and the Market Mechanism. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 84(3), 488-500. doi:10.2307/1879431
6. Stigler, G. J. (1961). The Economics of Information. *Journal of Political Economy*, 69(3), 213-225. doi:10.1086/258464
7. Fafchamps, M., & Hill, R. V. (2008). Price Transmission and Trader Entry in Domestic Commodity Markets. *Economic Development and Cultural Change*, 56(4), 729-766. doi:10.1086/588161
8. Shepherd, A. W. (1997). *Market Information Services: Theory and Practice*. FAO Agricultural Services Bulletin, No. 125. Retrieved from <http://www.fao.org/3/w6923e/w6923e00.htm>
9. Ahmed, T., Hossain, M., & Sanin, K. I. (2019). Empowering women and diversifying diets to improve nutrition. In T. Fanzo, D. Hunter, T. Borelli, & F. Mattei (Eds.), *Diversifying Food and Diets* (pp. 165-180). Routledge.
10. Ruel, M. T., & Alderman, H. (2013). Nutrition-sensitive interventions and programmes: how can they help to accelerate progress in improving maternal and child nutrition? *The Lancet*, 382(9891), 536-551. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(13)60843-0
11. Leroy, J. L., Ruel, M., Frongillo, E. A., Harris, J., & Ballard, T. J. (2018). Measuring the Food Access Dimension of Food Security: A Critical Review and Mapping of Indicators. *Food and Nutrition Bulletin*, 36(2), 167-195. doi:10.1177/0379572115587274
12. Akerlof, G. A. (1970). The Market for 'Lemons': Quality Uncertainty and the Market Mechanism. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 84(3), 488-500. doi:10.2307/1879431
13. Stigler, G. J. (1961). The Economics of Information. *Journal of Political Economy*, 69(3), 213-225. doi:10.1086/258464
14. Sen, A. (2001). *Development as Freedom*. Oxford University Press.
15. Duflo, E. (2012). Women Empowerment and Economic Development. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 50(4), 1051-1079. doi:10.1257/jel.50.4.1051
16. Kabeer, N. (2005). Gender equality and women's empowerment: A critical analysis of the third millennium development goal 1. *Gender & Development*, 13(1), 13-24. doi:10.1080/13552070512331332273
17. Turner, R., Hawkes, C., Waage, J., Ferguson, E., Haseen, F., Homans, H., & Dufour, C. (2020). Food systems for children and adolescents: working together to secure nutritious diets. *Global Food Security*, 27, 100389. doi:10.1016/j.gfs.2020.100389
18. Fanzo, J. (2012). *The Nutrition Challenge in Sub-Saharan Africa*. United Nations Development Programme, Regional Bureau for Africa.
19. Loopstra, R., Reeves, A., & Stuckler, D. (2019). Food insecurity and social protection in Europe: quasi-natural experiment of Europe's great recessions 2004–2012. *Public Health Nutrition*, 22(3), 402-410. doi:10.1017/S1368980018002225
20. Hossain, N., King, R., Kelbert, A., & Akhter, S. (2019). Food Insecurity and Social Protection in Bangladesh. *World Development*, 123, 104626. doi:10.1016/j.worlddev.2019.104626

21. Webb, P., Coates, J., Frongillo, E. A., Rogers, B. L., Swindale, A., & Bilinsky, P. (2018). Measuring household food insecurity: why it's so important and yet so difficult to do. *Journal of Nutrition*, 136(5), 1404S-1408S. doi:10.1093/jn/136.5.1404S



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).